

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/025,506	MCGUCKIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sharon Kennedy	3762	

All Participants:
Status of Application: new

 (1) Sharon Kennedy.

(3) _____.

 (2) Neil Gershon.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 July 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Possible rejection under 102 of at least claim 1 in view of Ekholmer or Dake.


Claims discussed:

All claims.

Prior art documents discussed:

See office action, Reasons for Allowance.
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Agreed to change preambles of claims 1 and 28 so that it "breathes life and vitality" into the body of the claims requiring the device to be a hemodialysis catheter.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)